Town Of Lamont, Oklahoma

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Year Ended June 30, 2013

Kimberlye R. Mayer, CPA, P.C.

735 West Doolin

Blackwell, OK 74631

(580) 363-1453 Fax (580) 363-0068

Independent Accountant's Report

To the Specified Users of the Report:

Board of Trustees of the Town of Lamont Lamont, Oklahoma

Board of Trustees of the Lamont Public Works Authority Lamont, Oklahoma

We have compiled the accompanying Summary of Changes in Fund Balances – Cash Basis of the Town of Lamont and Public Trust for the year ended June 30, 2013, the Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Cash Basis – General Fund, and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position – Cash Basis – Lamont Public Works Authority for the year ended June 30, 2013. The financial statements have been prepared in a format and basis of accounting, as prescribed by Oklahoma Statutes, that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and budget laws of the State of Oklahoma applicable to municipalities and the presentation requirements prescribed in Oklahoma Statutes §11-17-105-.107 and §60-180-1-.3.

We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance about whether the financial statements are in accordance with the form prescribed by Oklahoma Statutes, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in the United States.

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the form prescribed by Oklahoma Statutes and for designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair representation of the financial statements.

Our responsibility is to conduct the compilation in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The objective of a compilation is to assist management in presenting financial information in the form of financial statements without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures ordinarily included in financial statements prepared on the basis of accounting prescribed by Oklahoma Statutes. If the omitted disclosures were included in the financial statements, they might influence the user's conclusions about the Town's assets, liabilities, equity, revenues and expenses. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

Additionally, we have performed the procedures enumerated on the next page which were agreed to by the specified users of the report, as identified above, and as defined within the applicable state laws of the State of Oklahoma, solely to assist the Town and Public Works Authority in meeting its financial accountability requirements as prescribed by applicable Oklahoma law and evaluating compliance with specified legal or contractual requirements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Management of the Town of Lamont is responsible for the Town's financial accountability and its compliance with those legal and contractual requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the additional requirements prescribed in Oklahoma Statutes §11-17-105-.107 and §60-180-1-.3. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report.

Procedures and Findings:

As to the Town of Lamont as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013:

1. **Procedures Performed:** From the Town's trial balances, we compiled a cash basis schedule of changes in fund balances for each fund (see page 5) and compared the schedule results to the statutory prohibition of creating fund balance deficits to report any noted instances of noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance noted.

2. **Procedures Performed:** From the Town's trial balances, we compiled a cash basis budget and actual financial schedule for the General Fund (see page 6) and compared the actual expenditures reported to the authorized appropriations to report any noted instances of noncompliance with the appropriation limitations.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance noted.

3. **Procedures Performed:** We agreed the Town's material bank account balances to bank statements, and traced the timely clearance of significant reconciling items to report any significant or unusual instances of reconciling items that have not cleared.

Findings: No unusual reconciling items were noted that did not clear on a timely basis.

4. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the Town's uninsured deposits to fair value of pledged collateral to report any amounts of uninsured and uncollateralized deposits.

Findings: The Town had uninsured/uncollateralized deposits at June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$182,983.

5. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the Town's use of material-restricted revenues and resources to their restrictions to report any noted instances of noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance were noted.

6. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the accounting for the Town's activities by fund to the legal and contractual requirements for separate funds to report any noted instances of noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance noted.

7. **Procedures Performed:** A search for Town debt agreements was performed to identify any contractually required reserve balances and debt service coverage requirements of bond indentures for the purpose of determining contract compliance.

Findings: The Town had no debt, therefore no debt service coverage requirements of bond indentures were evaluated for compliance.

As to the Lamont Public Works Authority as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013:

1. **Procedures Performed:** From the Authority's trial balances, we compiled a schedule of revenues, expenses and changes in fund balances - cash basis for the Authority (see page 7) and compared the schedule results to the applicable trust prohibitions for creating net asset deficits to report any noted instance of noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance noted.

2. **Procedures Performed:** We agreed the Authority's material bank account balances to bank statements, and trace the timely clearance of significant reconciling items to report any significant or unusual instances of reconciling items that have not cleared.

Findings: No unusual reconciling items were noted that did not clear on a timely basis.

3. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the Authority's uninsured deposits to fair value of pledged collateral to report any amounts of uninsured and uncollateralized deposits.

Findings: The Town had uninsured/uncollateralized deposits at June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$182,983.

4. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the Authority's use of material-restricted revenues and resources to their restrictions to report any noted instances of noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance were noted.

5. **Procedures Performed:** We compared the accounting for the Authority's activities by fund to the legal and contractual requirements for separate funds to report any noted instances on noncompliance.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance were noted.

6. **Procedures Performed:** A search for the Authority's debt agreements was performed to identify any contractually required reserve balances and debt service coverage requirements of bond indentures for the purpose of determining contract compliance.

Findings: The Authority had no debt, therefore no debt service coverage requirements of bond indentures were evaluated for compliance.

As to the Town of Lamont and Lamont Public Works Authority grant programs, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013:

1. **Procedures Performed:** From the Town and Authority's trial balances, we compiled a schedule of grant activity for each grant/contract (see page 8) and compared the receipts and disbursements to grant agreements and supporting information to report any noted instances of noncompliance with the grant agreements.

Findings: No instances of noncompliance were noted.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified users, as identified above, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other that these specified parties.

Kimberlye R. Mayer, CPA, P.C.

January 5, 2014

TOWN OF LAMONT, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES CASH BASIS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

	Fund Balances 6/30/12	Change	Fund Balances 6/30/13	
TOWN:				
General Fund	\$ 112,960	\$ 45,571	\$ 158,531	
Fire Department Fund	4,046	(2,272)	1,774	
Total Town	117,006	43,299	160,305	
PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY:				
Lamont Public Works Authority	231,644	60,073	291,717	
PWA Subtotal	231,644	60,073	291,717	
TOWN TOTALS	\$ 348,650	\$ 103,372	\$ 452,022	

TOWN OF LAMONT, OKLAHOMA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - CASH BASIS GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

TEAR ENDED JONE 30, 2013						
	Budgete	d Amounts	Actual	Variance with Final Budget		
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)		
Beginning budgetary fund balance	\$ 112,960	\$ 112,960	\$ 112,960	\$		
Resources (Inflows):						
Sales tax	140,000	140,000	147,722	7,722		
Cigarette tax	5,000	5,000	1,815	(3,185)		
Franchise taxes	20,000	20,000	14,388	(5,612)		
Gas excise tax	1,500	1,500	780	(720)		
Alcoholic beverage tax	15,000	15,000	12,654	(2,346)		
Motor vehicle tax	3,000	3,000	2,991	(9)		
Use tax	8,000	8,000	6,668	(1,332)		
Interest	250	250	218	(32)		
Police fines	50,000	50,000	11,918	(38,082)		
Other revenues	30,000	30,000	23,383	(6,617)		
Grant income	32,000	32,000	49,066	17,066		
Total Resources	304,750	304,750	271,603	(33,147)		
Charges (Outflows):						
General Government:			•			
Personnel services	56,400	56,400	44,198	12,202		
Materials and supplies	40,000	40,000	5,866	34,134		
Other services and charges	45,000	45,000	42,083	2,917		
Capital outlay	·		-			
Total General Government	141,400	141,400	92,147	49,253		
Police Department:						
Personnel services	45,900	45,900	38,275	7,625		
Materials and supplies	16,000	16,000	11,616	4,384		
Other services and charges	28,000	28,000	16,988	11,012		
Total Police Department	89,900	89,900	66,879	23,021		
Street and Alley:						
Materials and supplies	1,000	1,000	579	421		
Other services and charges	10,000	10,000	15,361	(5,361)		
Capital outlay	11.000	11.000	15.040	(4.040)		
Total Street and Alley	11,000	11,000	15,940	(4,940)		
Total Charges	242,300	242,300	174,966	67,334		
Grants expense			(49,066)	(49,066)		
Transfer in (out)			(2,000)	(2,000)		
Ending budgetary fund balance	\$ 175,410	\$ 175,410	\$ 158,531	\$ (16,879)		

TOWN OF LAMONT, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION - CASH BASIS LAMONT PUBLIC WORKS AUTHORITY YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

Operating Revenues:	
Charges for services:	Ф 104000
Water	\$ 104,899
Sewer	49,249
Sanitation	19,940
Other income	1,169
Total Operating Revenues	175,257
Operating Expenses:	
Administration	58,663
Water	40,557
Sewer	12,257
Sanitation	18,630
Capital Outlay	
Total Operating Expenses	130,107
Operating income (loss)	45,150
Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses):	
Interest income	1,873
FEMA	15,050
Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses)	16,923
Net Income (Loss) Before Transfers	62,073
Transfers in (out)	(2,000)
Change in Net Position	60,073
Net Position, beginning of year	231,644
Net Position, end of year	\$ 291,717

TOWN OF LAMONT, OKLAHOMA SCHEDULE OF GRANT ACTIVITY CASH BASIS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

Grantor	Program or CFDA #	Award Amount	_	Amount Received	_	Amount Pisbursed	Amount Unexpended
State Dept. of Agriculture		\$ 4,484	\$	4,484	\$	4,484	\$
Oklahoma Dept. of Commerce REAP		\$ 32,000	\$	32,000	\$	32,000	\$
Oklahoma Dept. of Commerce 13798-1-CDBG			\$	17,066	\$	17,066	